

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

Joshua Adams,
Plaintiff,
vs.

BEDFORD STREET, LLC and
SNACK COMPANY BEDFORD LLC,
Defendants.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Joshua Adams, by his undersigned counsel, hereby files this Complaint against Defendants, BEDFORD STREET, LLC and SNACK COMPANY BEDFORD LLC (“Defendants”), for injunctive relief pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181, et seq., (the ADA) and the ADA’s Accessibility Guidelines, 28 C.F.R. Part 36 (hereinafter the “ADAAG”).

JURISDICTION

1. This Court has original jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C., §§1331 and 1343 for Plaintiff’s claims arising under 42 U.S.C. § 12181. et seq., based upon Defendants’ violations of Title III of the ADA (see also, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202).

2. Plaintiff currently resides in the Brooklyn, New York.

3. Plaintiff was born with spina bifida and Hydrocephalus, and as a result is bound to ambulate in a wheelchair. He is a qualified individual with disabilities under the ADA.

4. Plaintiff visited the property to enjoy the goods and services offered therein and encountered barriers to access. Plaintiff desires and intends to return to the Facility to enjoy to goods and services offered therein. However, his access to the Facility continues to be denied

and/or substantially limited. His access will continue to be denied until Defendants remove the barriers, including those set forth in the Complaint at Paragraph 16 below.

5. Defendant, BEDFORD STREET, LLC, transacts business in the State of New York and within this judicial district. Defendant is the owner and/or lessor, of the real property located at 63 Bedford St, New York, NY 10014 where the restaurant known SNACK TAVERNA is located (the “Facility”).

6. Defendant, SNACK COMPANY BEDFORD LLC transacts business in the State of New York and within this judicial district. Defendant is the lessee of the Facility located at 63 Bedford St, New York, NY 10014 and the owner and/or operator of a restaurant known as SNACK TAVERNA (the “Facility”).

7. The Facility is a place of public accommodation under the ADA.

8. Plaintiff has suffered legal harm and injury in fact, as he visited the Facility to enjoy the goods and services provided therein, encountered barriers to access, and desires and intends to re-visit the Facility again, but is unable to do so until the barriers to access are remediated.

9. Plaintiff intends to return to the Facility to enjoy the unique Mediterranean dishes including Spanish octopus and warm walnut cake. He intends to return this winter for Valentine’s Day, and perhaps his birthday.

10. The barriers alleged in Paragraph 16, by their very nature, prevent Plaintiff and other wheelchair bound patrons from accessing the premises and enjoying the goods and services offered therein.

11. The removal of the alleged barriers can be accomplished without much difficulty or expense.

12. All events giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in the State of New York. Venue is proper in this Court as the premises is in the Southern District.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS AND CLAIM

13. Plaintiff has attempted to access the Facility to enjoy the goods and services offered therein but could not do so without substantial hardship due to his disability, which requires him to ambulate in a wheelchair, and the significant barriers to access existing at the Facility.

14. Plaintiff intends to visit the Facility in the future to enjoy to goods and services offered therein. However, his access to the Facility continues to be denied and/or substantially limited. His access will continue to be denied until Defendants remove the barriers, including those set forth in the Complaint at Paragraph 15 below.

15. Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff by denying him full access to the goods, services and/or accommodations of the Facility, as prohibited by 42 U.S.C., § 12182, et. seq., and by failing to remove architectural barriers as required by 42 U.S.C., § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv), will continue to discriminate against Plaintiff unless and until Defendants remove all physical barriers including those specifically set forth below.

16. Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff by failing to remedy the ADA violations, which by their nature, affect Plaintiff and other wheelchair bound plaintiffs. A specific list of ADA violations which preclude and/or limit Plaintiff's ability to access the facility and to enjoy the goods and services offered therein, include the following:

Entrance:

- The entrance is inaccessible.
- There is a step at the entrance and no ramp is provided, in violation of ADAAG 206, 207, 402, 403, 405 and 406.
- The required minimum maneuvering clearance not provided at entrance door in violation of ADAAG 206, 207 and 404.

Seating Inside and Outside Dining Areas:

- Inaccessible dining tables located at interior dining area.
- Required minimum knee and toe clearance not provided at dining tables located at interior dining area in violation of ADAAG 226, 902, 306.
- Inaccessible dining counter which does not provide enough toe and knee clearance and is too high in violation of ADAAG 206, 902 and 306.
- Inaccessible dining tables located at exterior dining area.
- Required minimum knee and toe clearance not provided at dining tables located at exterior dining area in violation of ADAAG 226, 902 and 306.

Restrooms

- Compliant signage not provided as required in violation of ADAAG 216 and 703.
- Required minimum clear width not provided at door of men's restroom in violation of ADAAG 206, 402 and 404.
- Non-compliant rise in floor level at door threshold of men's restroom acts as a barrier to accessibility and no ramp in violation of ADAAG 206, 402, and 404.
- Required minimum maneuvering clearance not provided at door of men's restroom.
- Non-compliant change in floor level within required maneuvering clearance at door of men's restroom in violation of ADAAG 206, 402 and 404.
- Non-compliant door knob at door of men's restroom requires twisting of the wrist in violation of ADAAG 404 and 307.
- Required minimum turning space not provided in men's restroom in violation of ADAAG 603, and 304.
- Inaccessible water closet in men's restroom.
- Required minimum clearance not provided at water closet in men's restroom in violation of ADAAG 604.
- Non-compliant position of plumbing valves located directly behind toilet seat of water closet in men's restroom in violation of ADAAG 604.
- Inaccessible lavatory in men's restroom.
- Required minimum clear floor space not provided at lavatory in men's restroom in violation of ADAAG 606 and 303.
- Insulation of pipes and water lines under the lavatory in men's restroom not provided as required in violation of ADAAG 606.
- Inaccessible mirror in men's restroom.
- Mirror in men's restroom exceeds maximum height allowance in violation of ADAAG 603.

17. The above listing may not include all the barriers countered by Plaintiff and/or which exist at the facility. Plaintiff requires an inspection of the facility to determine all the ADA violations.

18. The removal of the ADA violations set forth herein is readily achievable and can be accomplished and carried out without much difficulty or expense. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(B)(2)(A)(iv); 42 U.S.C. § 12181(9); 28 C.F.R. § 36.304.

19. Plaintiff is without adequate remedy at law and is suffering irreparable harm, and reasonably anticipates that he will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless and until Defendants are required to remove the physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that exist at the facility, including those set forth herein.

20. The Plaintiff has been obligated to retain undersigned counsel for the filing and prosecution of this action. The Plaintiff is entitled to have his reasonable attorney's fees, costs and expenses paid by the Defendant, pursuant to 42 U.S.C., §§ 12205 and 12217.

21. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12188(a), this Court is provided with authority to grant injunctive relief to Plaintiff, including an order to alter the subject facility to make it readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA, and closing the subject facility until the requisite modifications are completed.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court issue a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant from continuing their discriminatory practices, ordering Defendant to remove the physical barriers to access and alter the subject Facility to make it readily accessible and useable by individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA, closing the subject Facility until the barriers are removed and requisite alterations are completed, and awarding Plaintiff his reasonable attorney's fees, expert fees, costs and litigation expenses incurred in this action.

Dated: November 26, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Jennifer E. Tucek, Esq.

Law Office of Jennifer Tucek, PC

Attorney for Plaintiff

Bar No. JT2817

315 Madison Avenue, #3054

New York, N.Y. 10017

(917) 669-6991

TucekLaw@Gmail.com